09:48:43

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

USA,

Plaintiff,

CRIMINAL ACTION

V.

NO. 23cr61(MN)

ROBERT HUNTER BIDEN,

Defendant.

Tuesday, October 3, 2023 10:00 a.m. Initial Appearance Arraignment

Courtroom 2A 844 King Street Wilmington, Delaware

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE CHRISTOPHER J. BURKE
United States District Court Magistrate Judge

## APPEARANCES:

OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL COUNSEL

BY: DEREK E. HINES, ESQ.

BY: LEO WISE, ESQ.

Counsel for the Plaintiff

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	
3	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP BY: ABBE DAVID LOWELL, ESQ.
4	-and-
5	BERGER HARRIS LLP
6	BY: RICHARD I.G. JONES, JR., ESQ.
7	Counsel for the Defendant
8	
9	
10	
09:56:3011	
09:56:3012	
10:02:2913	THE COURT: Please be seated, everyone. Good
10:02:3214	morning.
10:02:3215	MR. HINES: Good morning, Your Honor.
10:02:3316	MR. LOWELL: Good morning, Your Honor.
10:02:3317	THE COURT: All right. We have one matter on
10:02:3618	our docket this morning. Let me ask Government's counsel to
10:02:3819	come forward and call our matter for this morning.
10:02:4120	Mr. Hines.
10:02:4321	MR. HINES: Good morning, Your Honor. Derek
10:02:4522	Hines and Leo Wise appearing on behalf of the United States.

10:02:4723 Now is the time the Court has set for initial appearance and

versus Robert Hunter Biden, Criminal No. 23-61-MN.

10:02:5224 arraignment on the Indictment filed in the United States

10:03:01 1 Indictment is Docket No. 4.

10:03:01 2

10:03:04 3

10:03:05 4

10:03:07 5

10:03:11 6

10:03:14 7

10:03:18 8

10:03:20 9

10:03:2210

10:03:261

10:03:2912

10:03:313

10:03:3514

10:03:3715

10:03:4016

10:03:4317

10:03:4518

10:03:4619

10:03:5020

10:03:5321

10:03:5622

10:03:5823

10:04:0324

10:04:025

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Hines.
You may be seated.

Mr. Biden, good morning to you. Mr. Lowell, good morning to you. Would you introduce your colleague.

MR. LOWELL: Yes, Your Honor. Abbe Lowell on behalf of Mr. Biden. Also, Richard Jones of Wilmington on behalf of Mr. Biden. And Mr. Biden is present.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

So Mr. Biden, good morning. You're here today as you know for your initial appearance, or first appearance in our court on some new charges that have been brought against you here in this district. So what I want to do in this initial appearance is a few things.

First, I want to review the charges that you face and the alleged facts relating to them just to make sure you have a basic understanding of those charges that have been brought.

Next, I'll talk about the maximum penalties that could apply if you were convicted of one or more of those charges just to make sure you understand that up front.

Then I'll reiterate some important rights that you have in this matter as it relates to these new charges, information that you may have heard last time in court, but that is important and I want to make sure that you

10:04:08 1 understand.

Next, we'll talk about conditions of pretrial release on these charges. And then as to any other matter coming up, we'll then proceed after the close of the initial appearance to the arraignment which is a short hearing in which for the first time you'll enter your plea to the charges now against you. Okay? All right.

So first, I mentioned that there have been new charges here. And those charges as the parties note were brought in an Indictment returned by a federal grand jury in this district. Mr. Biden, you and your counsel I believe have had a chance already to review the Indictment together. It is a four-page indictment.

I'm not going to read the entirety of it right now, but I do have an obligation to make sure that you understand the charges against you, so I'm going to summarize those charges here. And there are three charges, or three counts in the Indictment, but the Indictment begins with some background relevant to all the charges really.

So first it explains that there was a company, and that is referred to as Company 1, that's located in Wilmington, Delaware. And that company possessed what is known as a Federal Firearms License, meaning that it was known as an FFL and that they're authorized to deal in firearms under federal law.

10:04:09 2

10:04:11 3 10:04:17 4

10:04:20 5

10:04:23 6

10:04:27 7

10:04:29 8

10:04:33 9

10:04:3910

10:04:4311

10:04:4612

10:04:5013

10:04:5314

10:04:5615

10:04:5816

10:05:017

10:05:0418

10:05:0719

10:05:1320

10:05:1&1

10:05:1922

10:05:223

10:05:2724

10:05:3125

10:05:33 1 10:05:36 2 10:05:39 3

10:05:41 4 10:05:46 5 10:05:48 6 10:05:51 7 10:05:56 8 10:06:01 9 10:06:0510 10:06:1111 10:06:1512 10:06:1813

10:06:2315 10:06:2716

10:06:1814

10:06:3618

10:06:3719

10:06:3217

10:06:4020 10:06:4421

10:06:5323 10:06:5724

10:06:4822

10:07:0025

The Indictment says that FFL holders are licensed, among other things, to sell firearms and ammunition and that they're obligated to follow various rules and regulations that are set out in the United States Code.

It next explains that among the rules and regulations that those FFL's need to follow are ones requiring that anybody seeking to purchase a firearm has to fill out what is called a Firearm Transaction Record, known as ATF Form 4473. And it explains that part of Form 4473 requires that the prospective purchaser of a firearm has to certified that his or her answers on that form or true and correct.

It then explains that question 11(e) on the form requires that the prospective purchaser of the firearm certify truthfully that he or she is not an unlawful user of or addicted to any narcotic drugs or other controlled substance.

It explains that in the certification section of the form the buyer has to certify that his or her answers are true, correct, and complete. It explains that the buyer has to acknowledge this by signing the form and associated with this signature is a statement that says, I understand the person who answers yes to the questions, including question 11(e), is prohibited from purchasing or receiving a 10:07:04 1

10:07:13 3

10:07:15 4

10:07:17 5 10:07:21 6

10:07:27 7

10:07:32 8

10:07:35 9

10:07:3810

10:07:4011

10:07:4412

10:07:4813

10:07:5214

10:07:5515

10:07:5916

10:08:0217

10:08:0718

10:08:1219

10:08:120

10:08:221

10:08:2622

10:08:2923

10:08:3524

10:08:3725

firearm, and that making any false oral or written statements is a crime punishable as a felony under federal law and may also violate state or local law.

And lastly, the introduction section notes that FFL holders are required by law to maintain certain records, including the form, the completed Form 4473 of the identity of any actual buyer of firearms sold by the FFL holder, including the buyer's home address and date of birth.

And then it proceeds to the three counts. first count is the charge of making a false statement in purchase of a firearm. It's an alleged violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Sections 922(a)(6) and 924(a)(2). And there it's alleged that in addition to the allegations that I have just described, that on or about October 12th of 2018, in this district, the District of Delaware, that you, in connection with a purchase or acquisition of a firearm, and here specifically a Colt Cobra 38 SPL revolver with the serial number listed in Count 1 that you purchased from Company 1, and that Company 1 being at the time licensed under federal law as an FFL, it's alleged that you in association with that purchase knowingly made a false and fictitious written statement that was intended and likely to deceive the firearms dealer with respect to the fact that it was material to the lawfulness of the sale of that firearm under the provisions of federal

10:08:41 1

law.

10:08:41 2

10:08:44 3

10:08:47 4

10:08:51 5

10:08:54 6

10:08:57 7

10:09:00 8

10:09:02 9

10:09:040

10:09:1011

10:09:1412

10:09:1713

10:09:2014

10:09:2415

10:09:2716

10:09:3017

10:09:3318

10:09:3819

10:09:4220

10:09:421

10:09:4922

10:09:5423

10:09:524

10:09:5825

More specifically that you did so by making a written statement on the Form 4473 that you filled out certifying that you were not an unlawful user of or addicted to any stimulant, narcotic drug, or other controlled substance when, in fact, it's alleged that you knew that statement was false and fictitious.

Second in Count 2, you're charged there with a similar, although slightly different crime, called making a false statement related to information required to be kept by a federal firearms licensed dealer. That's an alleged violation of a different statute, Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 924(a)(1)(A).

There it's alleged that on or about October 12th of 2018, in the District of Delaware, you in connection with the acquisition of that firearm that I described listed in Count 1 knowingly made a false statement and representation to Company 1 with respect that information required by the provisions of federal law to be kept in that FFL holder's records. Again, the statement being that you certified on Form 4473 that you were not an unlawful user of or addicted to any stimulant or narcotic drug or other controlled substance when, in fact, it's alleged that you knew that statement to be false and fictitious.

Lastly, in Count 3, that's the charge of

10:10:02 1 10:10:06 2 10:10:10 3 10:10:15 4 10:10:19 5 10:10:24 6 10:10:28 7 10:10:31 8 10:10:34 9 10:10:3810 10:10:4111 10:10:4612 10:10:5013 10:10:5414 10:10:5515 10:10:5816 10:11:0017 10:11:0318 10:11:0519 10:11:020 10:11:121 10:11:1422 10:11:123 10:11:1824

10:11:1925

possession of a firearm by a person who is an unlawful user or addicted to a controlled substance. That's violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Sections 922(g)(3) and 924(a)(2). There it's alleged that again, on or about October 12th, 2018, through on or about October 23rd, 2018, in the District of Delaware, that you knowing that you were an unlawful user of or addicted to a stimulant, narcotic drug, or any other controlled substance as defined in Title 21 of the U.S. Code, Section 802, that you did knowingly possess a firearm, that is the firearm described earlier, the Colt Cobra 38 SPL revolver with the serial number listed in the count, and that said firearm had been shipped and transported in interstate commerce prior to your possession of the gun.

All right. So again, three counts, the last of which relates to the possession of the firearm, the first two relates to statements made relating to its purchase.

I want you to know that in reviewing this information, nothing I say here today is meant to suggest that you're either innocent or guilty of these charges, it's simply to make sure that you have a basic factual understanding of what you have been charged with.

Do you have that basic understanding?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. LOWELL: Your Honor, you should also note

for the record that we should have said at the of the indictment. It and I have gone over in the COURT:

10:11:28 4 and I have gone over in the COURT:

10:11:31 5 THE COURT:

10:11:34 6 arraignment that will next, Mr.

10:11:40 8 penalties that could a more of these charges.

10:11:431 So first we mind these are the max could not be sentenced.

10:11:5214

10:11:5515

10:11:5716

10:12:0017

10:12:0318

10:12:0719

10:12:1020

10:12:1321

10:12:1622

10:12:1923

10:12:1924

10:12:2325

for the record that we have gone over this with him, and I should have said at the beginning that we waive the reading of the indictment. It's a short indictment and Mr. Biden and I have gone over it in some detail.

THE COURT: Okay. That will relate to your arraignment that will happen very shortly. Thank you.

Next, Mr. Biden, I want to review the maximum penalties that could apply if you are convicted to one or more of these charges. The government has provided that information to the Court.

So first with regard to Count 1, and keep in mind these are the maximum penalties that could apply, you could not be sentenced to anything more than this by the district judge if you were convicted. You, in fact, could receive lesser than these sentences.

With regard to Count 1, the maximum penalty that applies is a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years; a maximum fine of \$250,000; a maximum term of three years of supervised release, supervised release being a period of years after someone is released from prison where they still have to follow certain conditions that were set by the judge at their sentencing; and the payment of \$100 special assessment.

In Count 2, the maximum penalties are a maximum term of imprisonment of five years; a maximum fine of

\$250,000; a maximum term of three years of supervised release; and again, a special assessment of \$100.

10:12:32 3

10:12:35 4

10:12:38 5

10:12:41 6

10:12:45 7

10:12:47 8

10:12:50 9

10:12:5210

10:12:5411

10:12:5712

10:12:5913

10:13:0214

10:13:0515

10:13:0816

10:13:1017

10:13:1218

10:13:1619

10:13:1920

10:13:221

10:13:2522

10:13:2723

10:13:2924

10:13:325

And then lastly in Count 3, the maximum penalties are the same as it relates to Count 1, a maximum term of ten years of imprisonment; a maximum fine of \$250,000; a maximum term of three years of supervised release; and a \$100 special assessment.

Mr. Biden, do you understand what I said about the maximum penalties that could apply in your case?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Next let me talk about and reiterate some of the important rights that you have in this case now that you have been charged in this Indictment just as you did previously when you were here in court in July, and that is, of course, first, that you have the right to remain silent, which means you don't have to talk to anybody about these charges or the facts relating to them and no one can force you to do so. On the other hand, you need to know that if you do choose to talk about the facts relating to these allegations, that the words that you say in those conversations can be used against you, including in court in this very case.

On the other hand, anything you say to your lawyers for the purposes of getting legal advice, those conversations are privileged and confidential, which means

10:13:36 1 they can't be used against you for any reason. 10:13:38 2 So a lot of times people say that the only truly safe person to talk to about the charges that you face if 10:13:41 3 you want to make absolutely sure that your words aren't used 10:13:44 4 10:13:47 5 against you is your attorneys. 10:13:49 6 Do you understand what I said about your right 10:13:51 7 to remain silent? 10:13:52 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. I mentioned attorneys. 10:13:53 9 10:13:5410 Of course that's another right that you have. As you know, as the Court described previously, you have the right to 10:13:5611 10:14:0012 hire attorneys of your own choosing to represent you. If you could not do so financially, you could ask the Court to 10:14:0313 10:14:0714 appoint an attorney to represent you. In this case I 10:14:1015 understand that you have chosen Mr. Lowell and Mr. Jones as 10:14:1316 your lawyers to represent you in this case. Is that 10:14:1417 correct? 10:14:1418 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 10:14:1519 THE COURT: And you wish to continue that 10:14:1720 representation as well; is that right? 10:14:1921 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 10:14:2022 THE COURT: And I notice for the record that 10:14:2123 counsel's appearances are on the docket. There is nothing further they need to do to establish that. 10:14:2424 So next let me talk about the conditions of 10:14:2625

10:14:30 1 10:14:35 2 10:14:39 3 10:14:43 4 10:14:50 5 10:14:54 6 10:14:57 7 10:15:01 8 10:15:04 9 10:15:040 10:15:0711 10:15:1012 10:15:1313 10:15:1514 10:15:1815 10:15:2016 10:15:2217 10:15:248 10:15:2819 10:15:3120

10:15:3621

10:15:3822

10:15:4123

10:15:4424

10:15:4825

release that I intend to impose here, but also ask the parties for any input that they have. And as counsel knows, Judge Noreika at the prior hearing had imposed conditions of pretrial release on Mr. Biden. I have discussed with our liaison probation officer, our chief probation officer, Ms. Bray, the conditions that should apply going forward. Ms. Bray I know has been in touch regularly with the supervising probation officer in the Central District of California.

It is the Probation Officer's recommendation that the Court impose essentially similar conditions, the same conditions, there are some slight wording changes to note where we are in the case, but basically the same conditions that were imposed previously on Mr. Biden.

What I'm going to do now is to review the conditions that have been suggested and that are mirrored by the prior conditions of release. I'll give counsel for each side a chance to tell me whether they have any suggested alterations or edits to those conditions, and then ultimately I'll impose conditions and make a brief record explaining why they're appropriate.

With that said, the conditions that are suggested to be imposed here are first, that the Defendant must submit to supervision by and report for supervision to the U.S. Probation Office in the Central District of

10:15:51 1 California where he lives. And then he must submit to 10:15:55 2 supervision, because he's currently supervised, no later than as currently directed by his current officer. 10:15:59 3 10:16:03 4 Next, that he must continue or actively seek 10:16:05 5 employment. 10:16:05 6 Next, he must abide by the following 10:16:07 7 restrictions of personal association, residence or travel, 10:16:09 8 and that is that he must communicate in writing all 10:16:13 9 international travel plans and provide supporting 10:16:1510 documentation if requested to both the Probation Office in the District of Delaware and the Probation Office in the 10:16:1911

Central District of California.

10:16:2412

10:16:2513

10:16:2814

10:16:3015

10:16:3216

10:16:3617

10:16:3718

10:16:4019

10:16:4320

10:16:421

10:16:5022

10:16:5223

10:16:524

10:16:5925

Next that he not possess a firearm, destructive device, or other weapon.

Next, that he not use alcohol at all.

Next, that he not use or unlawfully possess a narcotic drug or other controlled substance as defined in Title 21 U.S. Code, Section 802, unless prescribed by a licensed medical practitioner.

Next, that he submit to testing for a prohibited substance if required by the Pretrial Services Office or the supervising probation officer. I will note that testing may be used with random frequency and describe the type of testing provided and notes that the Defendant must not obstruct or attempt to obstruct or tamper with the

10:17:02 1 10:17:05 2 10:17:08 3 10:17:12 4 10:17:15 5 10:17:17 6 10:17:22 7 10:17:23 8 10:17:26 9 10:17:2810 10:17:2811 10:17:3312 10:17:3413 10:17:3514 10:17:3615 10:17:4016 10:17:4417 10:17:4718 10:17:5019 10:17:5520 10:18:0121 10:18:0522 10:18:0823 10:18:1124

10:18:125

efficiency or accuracy of the testing process.

And lastly, that the Defendant participate in a program of inpatient or outpatient substance abuse therapy and counseling if directed by the Pretrial Services Office or the supervising probation officer.

All right. Mr. Hines, on behalf of the Government, does the Government have any suggestions, alterations or edits to the proposed conditions of release?

MR. HINES: We do not, Your Honor. Thank you

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Lowell, any edits or alterations on behalf
of your client?

MR. LOWELL: Not at this time.

THE COURT: In light of that and in light of the fact of the Court's discussions with our probation office, I find that these conditions would be appropriate to institute in this case going forward. Just a few reasons briefly.

The first is conditions are relevant and related to the charges faced on the record herein. I just note in that regard certainly that conditions relating to the not possessing or using drugs or alcohol are relevant and supported by information in the Pretrial Services report relating to Mr. Biden's past history of addiction.

The conditions indicating that the Defendant should not possess a firearm are obviously relevant to the

10:18:19 1
10:18:23 2
10:18:27 3
10:18:33 4
10:18:36 5
10:18:39 6
10:18:42 7
10:18:48 8
10:18:52 9
10:18:54 0
10:18:58 1

charges. And the supervision that has been suggested makes sense in light of those facts, including the requirement that if the Defendant travels, he must communicate in advance in writing of his travel plans to Probation Office.

I also note that I am informed by the Probation Office that since his prior appearance here in July of 2023,

Office that since his prior appearance here in July of 2023, Mr. Biden has been communicative with and fully responsive to the supervising probation officer in the Central District of California; that he has been tested for drugs and alcohol a number of times and has tested negative, and so for all those reasons I find that these conditions of release are appropriate.

So I'm going to go ahead and sign the conditions of release form. I'll hand a copy down to my courtroom deputy. After our hearing is closed, he will come down briefly and review those with Mr. Biden and get his signature on the form and we'll get that to counsel thereafter. So those conditions of release will be imposed here.

Counsel, I know that at the prior appearance on the proposed felony indictment, Judge Noreika reviewed the Government's *Brady* obligations with them. I'll simply say that those obligations continue to be in force.

All right. Before we conclude the initial appearance portion of this hearing, let me just ask if there

10:19:013 10:19:0314

10:19:0012

10:19:0715

10:19:116

10:19:1517

10:19:1818

10:19:2219

10:19:220

10:19:221

10:19:3222

10:19:323

10:19:3924

10:19:4225

10:19:46 1 is anything further I didn't address. The Government, 10:19:50 2 Mr. Hines? 10:19:50 3 MR. HINES: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 10:19:51 4 THE COURT: Mr. Lowell? 10:19:52 5 MR. LOWELL: No, Judge. 10:19:53 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 10:19:55 7 Next let's move on to the arraignment. Again, Mr. Biden, this is just a short portion of the hearing where 10:19:58 8 10:20:01 9 you'll answer your plea to the charges against you. 10:20:0510 And Mr. Lowell, in that regard, I know that you 10:20:0811 have stated that Mr. Biden waives any full reading of the 10:20:1112 Indictment. How does he wish to plead to the three charges 10:20:1313 against him in the Indictment? 10:20:1414 MR. LOWELL: Mr. Biden pleads not guilty to the 10:20:1715 three counts that have been brought against him. 10:20:1916 THE COURT: In light of that, I'll ask my 10:20:217 courtroom deputy, Mr. Kincaid, to come down, we have a copy 10:20:2518 of the charging document for Mr. Biden and his counsel to 10:20:2819 sign indicating formally his not guilty plea to these 10:20:3220 charges. 10:21:021 COURTROOM DEPUTY: The plea has been entered. 10:21:0822 Thank you. THE COURT: 10:21:0923 Counsel, as you know, the case is assigned to 10:21:1124 Judge Noreika. I will, as I always do with regards to 10:21:1525 arraignments, I'll set an initial pretrial motion deadline

10:21:19 1 10:21:23 2 10:21:25 3 10:21:28 4 10:21:31 5 10:21:35 6 10:21:39 7 10:21:42 8 10:21:42 9 10:21:4610 10:21:5011 10:21:5312 10:21:5613 10:21:5814 10:22:0215 10:22:0516 10:22:0917 10:22:1418 10:22:1719 10:22:1920 10:22:2121 10:22:2322 10:22:2623 10:22:2824

10:22:3025

for thirty days from today's date, that is November 3rd of 2023. I'll sign that order.

We'll just note that to the extent that counsel for either side or counsel jointly wishes to move to extend that motions deadline or otherwise propose some different pretrial schedule that they do so by way of a written motion to Judge Noreika and file it on the docket. So I'm signing that order.

Mr. Biden, there is not a further hearing for me to set in your case at this time. The next significant deadline is that motions deadline that I just set. I'm sure you'll talk with your counsel between now and then as to how the case may proceed going forward.

Mr. Hines, one question on my end. The

Indictment now obviously has been filed on the docket and

that still has the prior felony information that was filed

with regard to the prior gun charge back at the point where

it was thought that there might be a plea. Did the

Government intend to dismiss that charge?

MR. HINES: Yes, consistent with local practice, we intend to file a written motion within the next day.

THE COURT: Okay. And that will go to Judge Noreika and she will review that.

All right. Is there anything further that the Government would need to address at this hearing before we

10:22:32 1 recess?

10:22:33 3

10:22:35 4

10:22:36 5

10:22:38 6

10:22:41 7

10:22:44 8

10:22:47 9

10:22:5010

10:22:5311

10:22:5612

10:22:5813

10:22:5914

10:23:0215

10:23:0416

10:23:0717

10:23:1018

10:23:1019

10:23:120

10:23:1721

10:23:2122

10:23:2523

10:23:2824

10:23:3025

10:22:32 2 MR. HINES: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lowell, anything further on behalf of Mr. Biden?

MR. LOWELL: Yes, a couple of things, Judge.

First, I understand that Judge Noreika did advise the Government of their Brady obligations. I would want to talk to the Government about the overall discovery issues, especially with the thirty-day motions schedule. We would like to get discovery in the case obviously before we file the motions. We will talk to them. I don't know that we'll have any problems that we will need to bring for the Court's attention, but we will see.

And second of all on those motions, I appreciate the date, I think we can conform to that based on the discovery perhaps, but I think there will be a number of motions which won't be a surprise to Your Honor or to Judge Noreika, including motions to dismiss which we discussed during the last proceeding which would focus on our view that there was an agreement in effect which would prevent this charge from being filed as well as questioning the constitutionality of the statutes that have been cited and others depending on what happens. So that thirty days seems right, but we'll talk to the Government.

THE COURT: I appreciate that. And I would

10:23:32 1	suggest that counsel talk to each other to the extent that	
10:23:35 2	counsel has jointly proposed alterations to the motions	
10:23:40 3	deadline, I'm sure Judge Noreika, not speaking for her,	
10:23:43 4	she'll determine the schedule that she thinks is	
10:23:46 5	appropriate. But it would be helpful if the parties do have	
10:23:50 6	some joint proposal as to how things should go, other than	
10:23:53 7	the motions deadline set thirty days from today's date, that	
10:23:57 8	they provide that to the Court at the earliest convenience.	
10:24:00 9	MR. LOWELL: The only other thing that would	
10:24:0110	maybe not change the schedule but would add to the schedule,	
10:24:0511	is that at least one of those motions, I think given what we	
10:24:0712	all know about this case, we will be making a request for an	
10:24:1013	evidentiary hearing.	
10:24:1114	THE COURT: Thank you. All right. With that	
10:24:1315	said and without anything further to address here, I'll	
10:24:1716	thank counsel for those arguments.	
10:24:2217	As we said, the initial pretrial motions	
10:24:2518	deadline will be set for November 3rd. And with that, the	
10:24:2919	Court will stand in recess. Thank you.	
10:24:3120	COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.	
21	(Court adjourned at 10:24 a.m.)	
22	I hereby certify the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript from my stenographic notes in the proceeding	~
23	accurace cranscript from my stemographic notes in the proceeding	٠,
24	/s/ Dale C. Hawkins	

Official Court Reporter U.S. District Court